

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01807 121112 Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-09 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 GAC-01 USIA-12 TRSE-00

MBFR-03 SAJ-01 SS-15 NSC-10 ACDA-19 OMB-01 IO-12

OIC-04 CU-04 RSR-01 /146 W
----- 050164

P R 121015 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9705

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 1807

E. O. 11652: GDS, 12-31-79

TAGS: PFOR, PARM

SUBJ: CSCE: CONFIDENCE- BUILDING MEASURES

SUMMARY. AS RESULT OF SEVERAL AMENDMENTS PROPOSED BY UK, POLADS WERE UNABLE REACH AGREEMENT AT APRIL 10 MEETING ON PAPER ON ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS OF MOVEMENTS/ MANEUVERS. COMPROMISE WAS PROPOSED FOR HANDLING FRG POINT ON DISTINCTION BETWEEN CBM' S AND MBFR CONSTRAINTS. ACTION REQUESTED: GUIDANCE, AS REQUESTED, ON CHANGES PROPOSED BY UK FOR APRIL 16 POLADS MEETING. END SUMMARY.

1. AT APRIL 10 MEETING, UK REP PROPOSED SEVERAL CHANGES IN PAPER ON ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS (TEXT USNATO 1415) MOST OF WHICH WERE ACCOMMODATED THROUGH COMPROMISE FORMULATIONS. FOLLOWING CHANGES WERE MADE:

PARA 4 - SECOND TICK WAS REVISED TO READ " THAT WESTERN COUNTRIES WOULD LIKE TO RECEIVE FROM THE WARSAW PACT COUNTRIES COMPARABLE ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS CONTAINING THE SAME KIND OF INFORMATION AS IN THEIR OWN LISTS."

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 01807 121112 Z

PARA 5 - WORDS " MOVEMENTS AND" WERE ADDED AFTER WORD " MILITARY" IN SENTENCE ONE.

PARA 5 - UK REP SUGGESTED THAT FOOTNOTE, WHICH IS UK LANGUAGE, BE DELETED. UK, FRG, AND BELGIAN REPS OPPOSED UK SUGGESTION WHICH WAS WITHDRAWN. FOOTNOTE WILL BE EXPANDED SOMEWHAT BY ADDITION OF CANADIAN LANGUAGE, WHICH DOES NOT CHANGE DEFINITIONS.

PARA 6 - SENTENCE 1. WORDS " DURING A RECENT CALENDAR YEAR" WERE CHANGED TO " DURING ONE OR MORE RECENT CALENDAR YEARS."

PARA 6 - SENTENCES 3, 5, AND 6. UK REP ASKED THAT SENTENCES 5 AND 6 AND WORDS " NEED NOT BE TOO COMPREHENSIVE AND" IN SENTENCE 3 BE DROPPED, ARGUING THAT ALLIED LISTS MUST BE COMPREHENSIVE IN TERMS MANEUVERS/ MOVEMENTS INCLUDED IN ORDER TO BE CREDIBLE AND IT WAS DOUBTFUL THAT SOME ALLIED MANEUVERS/ MOVEMENTS WOULD BE TOO SENSITIVE TO NOTIFY, AS STATED IN SENTENCES 5 AND 6. CHAIRMAN POINTED OUT THAT SENTENCES 5 AND 6 HAD BEEN ADDED AT REQUEST OF MILITARY COMMITTEE, AND MC REP SAID HE WOULD SEEK GUIDANCE ON UK PROPOSAL. US REP, WITH CANADIAN SUPPORT, ARGUED FOR RETENTION OF SENTENCES, WHICH UK ASKED BE BRACKETED. (COMMENT: WE ASSUME THAT AT APRIL 16 MEETING MC REP WILL ARGUE FOR RETENTION OF SENTENCES. WE WILL SUPPORT MC REP. END COMMENT.)

PARA 7 - FINAL SENTENCE. FRG REP ASKED THAT THIS SENTENCE BE DROPPED, AND IN ABSENCE SUPPORT IN COMMITTEE ASKED THAT IT BE BRACKETED, WITH BONN' S VIEWS REFLECTED IN ADDED SENTENCE WHICH READS, IN BRACKETS, " HOWEVER, SOME DELEGATIONS WERE OPPOSED TO SUCH AN INITIATIVE ON THE GROUNDS THAT FOR RECIPROCAL REASONS THE WARSAW PACT MIGHT WISH TO DO THE SAME WITH RESPECT TO NATO COUNTRIES' PAST MOVEMENTS AND MANEUVERS." (COMMENT: WE PLAN TO SETTLE FOR JUST FIRST SENTENCE PARA 7. END COMMENT.)

PARA 8 - SENTENCE 2. WORDS " AND MANEUVERS" WERE ADDED AFTER WORD MOVEMENT."

PARA 10 - SENTENCE 1. REFLECTING KNOWN UK RESERVE ON ANNUAL CALENDARS, UK REP PROPOSED THAT WORD " SHOULD" BE REPLACED BY " COULD." IN RESPONSE TO US REP' S QUESTION REGARDING CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 01807 121112 Z

REASONING BEHIND PROPOSAL, UK REP SUGGESTED THAT WARSAW PACT COUNTRIES MIGHT STATE THAT THEY DID NOT PLAN MANEUVERS/ MOVEMENTS ON YEARLY BASIS. NATO COUNTRIES SHOULD NOT TIE THEMSELVES DOWN ON THIS POINT, HE ARGUED, US REP ARGUED THAT BOTH NATO AND WARSAW PACT PLANNED AT LEAST YEAR IN ADVANCE AND THAT THIS WAS GOOD STARTING POSITION. MC REP AGREED WITH US POSITION, WORDS " SHOULD" AND " COULD" WILL APPEAR IN REVISED PAPER AS BRACKETED ALTERNATIVES. (COMMENT: WE PLAN TO OPPOSE UK PROPOSAL. END COMMENT.)

2. SEVERAL REPS ARGUED THAT LANGUAGE PROPOSED BY FRG REP ON DISTINCTION BETWEEN CBM' S AND MBFR CONSTRAINTS (USNATO 1681) WAS TOO DETAILED AND DID NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT AGREED POSITIONS ON MBFR. ONLY NETHERLANDS AND PORTUGUESE REPS SAID THEY COULD ACCEPT FRG LANGUAGE IN ITS ENTIRETY. COMPROMISE WAS PROPOSED WHEREBY FIFTH TICK OF PARA 4 WILL BE EXPANDED TO READ: " THAT THE SUGGESTED LISTS SHOULD BE KEPT STRICTLY IN A CSCE CONTEXT, AVOIDING POSSIBLE CONFUSION WITH COLLATERAL CONSTRAINTS IN MBFR. THERE EXIST SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES IN MANY RESPECTS BETWEEN CBM' S IN A CSCE FRAMEWORK AND MOVEMENT CONSTRAINTS IN MBFR; FOR INSTANCE IN THEIR VOLUNTARY OR COMPULSORY CHARACTER, RESPECTIVELY; IN THE VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS, IN THE POLITICAL OBJECTIVES AND THE NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES." FRG REP SAID HE WOULD RECOMMEND THIS FORMULATION TO BONN. (COMMENT: SINCE THE LISTING IS ILLUSTRATIVE RATHER THAN DEFINITIVE OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN C- BM' S AND MBFR CONSTRAINTS, WE RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE OF REVISED LANGUAGE. END COMMENT.)

3. UK REP ALSO ANNOUNCED THAT REVISED AND MORE EXTENSIVE VERSION OF UK ILLUSTRATIVE LIST (USNATO 1693) WOULD BE CIRCULATED SHORTLY. PAPER WILL BE ON AGENDA OF APRIL 16 MEETING, FOR WHICH WE WOULD APPRECIATE GUIDANCE ON PROPOSED CHANGES. MCAULIFFE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 APR 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO01807
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730458/abqcdyjf.tel
Line Count: 135
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 13 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <13-Aug-2001 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <18-Sep-2001 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE: CONFIDENCE- BUILDING MEASURES
TAGS: PFOR, PARM
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO USCINCEUR
USNMR SHAPE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005